

SRIPLAW California ♦Georgia ♦Florida ♦Tennessee ♦New York

Summary of the Dispute

2 Plaintiff's Issues:

1

3 1. VPR seeks leave to compel Jupiter to supplement its responses to
4 VPR's Requests for Admissions Nos. 1, 2, 3, 6, 7 and 8 as well as well VPR's
5 Interrogatory No. 6.

6 2. The parties agreed on claim construction and filed a proposed order
7 agreeing on the definitions of the claim terms, stating that "none of the parties"
8 previously identified 'claims requiring construction' remain in dispute." (ECF 299 1).

As of March 10, 2022, Jupiter did not supplement its discovery
 responses. The parties met and conferred for a second time regarding Jupiter's
 supplemental discovery responses. Jupiter refuses to supplement the discovery until
 the court ruleson its motion to stay and the claim construction order. VPR amended
 its Initial Disclosures to remove Mr. Pan since counsel remains unable to confirm
 Mr. Pan's ability and willingness to participate in this matter. Mr. Pan resides in
 China.

17 Defendant's Issues:

18 Jupiter seeks leave to compel production of Goucheng Pan. Jupiter 4. served a Notice of Deposition for Mr. Pan on January 7, 2022. On March 2, 2022, 19 VPR amended its Rule 26 Initial Disclosures to remove the inventor Goucheng Pan 20 as an available witness. VPR refuses to produce Mr. Pan for the noticed deposition. 21 5. 22 Jupiter seeks leave to compel production of infringement contentions with claim charts for all allegedly infringing products. On March 2, 2022, VPR 23 supplemented its responses to Jupiter's Interrogatories Nos. 2 and 9 with claim 24 25 charts allegedly mapping 5 Jupiter products: however, VPR still asserts 21 products are allegedly infringing. Jupiter has requested claim charts for all products allegedly 26 infringing claims 13 -15. Jupiter cannot prepare expert reports without a claim 27 construction order and claim charts for all allegedly infringing products. The parties 28

1

are at an impasse.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Further, if the Court wishes to, the parties consent to an assignment of 6. a Magistrate Judge for the resolution of all discovery related issues in this case.

Statement of compliance with Local Rule 7.2(j)

Counsel for the parties have met and conferred on multiple occasions in an attempt to resolve the above identified matters at issue through personal consultation and sincere effort and have reached an impasse.

9 Dated: March 14, 2022 Respectfully submitted, 10 11 /s/ Eliezer Lekht Eliezer Lekht 12 **SRIPLAW** 13 175 Pearl Street Third Floor 14 Brooklyn, NY 11201 561.404.4350 - Telephone 15 561.404.4353 - Facsimile 16 Joel.rothman@sriplaw.com eliezer.lekht@sriplaw.com 17 Attorneys for Plaintiff VPR Brands, LP 18 19 Mr. Anthony Meola 20Mr. Jeffrey J. Johnson Schmeiser, Olsen & Watts LLP 21 18 East University Drive 22 Suite 101 Mesa, AZ 85201 23 AZ@JPLawUSA.com, 24litigation@iplawusa.com ameola@iplawusa.com 25 jjohnson@jplawusa.com 26 Attorneys for Jupiter Research, LLC 27 28 VPR Exhibit 2

2020 Page 3

California ♦Georgia ♦Florida ♦Tennessee ♦New York SRIPLAW